UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/789,458 | 02/27/2004 | Makoto Ohhira | 15115/107001 | 5178 | |
| Jonathan P. Os | 7590 06/12/2007 ha | EXAMINER | | | |
| OSHA & MAY L.L.P. | | | VU, PHU | | |
| 1221 McKinne Houston, TX 7 | y Street, Suite 2800 7010 | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2871 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/12/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| А |
|----|
| AA |
| |
| V |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 10/789,458 | OHIRA, MAKOTO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Phu Vu | 2871 | |

| | Phu Vu | 2871 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many date of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | ampliant Amandment | (DTOL 224) | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an | | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | intry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment has changed the scope such that no statement directed toward patentability of the amended claims can be made without additional search and/or consideration.

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER